

# Agenda Item 27.

<b>TITLE</b>	<b>Place and Community Partnership future?</b>
<b>FOR CONSIDERATION BY</b>	Wokingham Borough Wellbeing Board on 8 August 2019
<b>WARD</b>	None Specific
<b>KEY OFFICER</b>	Philip Cook, Voluntary Sector

Health and Wellbeing Strategy priority/priorities most progressed through the report	N/A
Key outcomes achieved against the Strategy priority/priorities	N/A

Reason for consideration by Wellbeing Board	No point keeping this board unless it has a purpose/ focus.
What (if any) public engagement has been carried out?	None
State the financial implications of the decision	Attendees would get their some of their time back

<p><b>RECOMMENDATION</b></p> <p>The Wokingham Borough Wellbeing Board determines a focus of the Partnership and who should be on the Board or agrees that it can formally cease to exist.</p>
<p><b>SUMMARY OF REPORT</b></p> <p>The PCP has never had a vision, focus, purpose, ToR, support etc. so is not a useful sub group of the HWBB. The remaining members of the PCP (as many are no longer in post) feel without the above it isn't a functional board that can achieve anything purposeful and equally as there is no vision/ focus it is not clear if the right people are even on the board.</p> <p>There are many boards and subgroups in Wokingham and across Berkshire West that meet that may not directly or consciously connect to the 3 HWBB priorities, but are contributing to them in one form or another so it was felt this board (the PCP) doesn't have a niche area or gap that it can fill.</p>

## Background

The Place and Community Partnership met on the 28<sup>th</sup> June to discuss and consider:

- what this partnership could/ should focus on
- who else should/ could be involved
- next steps

There were 6 attendees representing: WBC localities, public health, Arts, Parish & Town Councils, Health and the VCS.

What came out from the discussions was:

- This partnership has never had a vision or focus given to it
- It has never received admin support akin to the other boards and forums
- It has draft terms of reference from 2014 that was never signed off or completed
- There is no point in the board existing formerly unless it has the above and a reconsidered membership

Terms of Reference summary – the main focus of the ToR was about partnership working, transparency, the service user voice and ‘reviewing provision and identifying gaps’ – but it doesn’t state what ‘provision’ should be reviewed.

It was recognised by the attendees that within our ‘places and communities’ in the borough there is so much going on and so much available and accessible to residents connected to health & wellbeing – the usual barriers present themselves though;

- Awareness of what’s out there and who’s doing what
- Systems and organisations working together in a genuine partnership
- Replicating ‘good’ stuff in other/new areas – how and who
- The expectations on charities/groups and their limitations

Not this is/ was a scrutiny board, but It was felt there is a disconnect between the JSNA, the HWBB priorities and then commissioning of services. Specifically the question was raised about the link between commissioned services and the priorities of the borough.

<b>Partner Implications</b>
None

<b>Reasons for considering the report in Part 2</b>
N/A

<b>List of Background Papers</b>
None

<b>Contact</b> Philip Cook	<b>Service</b> PCP holding chairperson/ involve General Manager
<b>Telephone No</b> 01344 304404	<b>Email</b> philip.cook@involve.community