

Extract of WBC Council Plan Performance Monitoring Report, Q4 16/17

Children's Services Indicators

Indicator	Target (plus target range for RAG)	15/16 Actual	16/17 Qtr. 4 Actual	RAG	Direction of Travel (Better/Worse/No change)	Strategic Director / Executive Member	Commentary
% referrals in 16/17 which are repeat referrals within 12 months of a previous referral to Children's Social Care 17	24% or Less Green: 24% or less Amber: 24.1% to 26% Red: Over 26%	18.96%	16.4% (Q3: 25.9%)	Green	Better (than Q3)	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	Repeat referrals are a mechanism for understanding whether services offered to children and their families were appropriately targeted in the first instance. Children may genuinely be needing to re-access services for a different reason. Exploration of every re-referral takes place to monitor effectiveness.

Extract of WBC Council Plan Performance Monitoring Report, Q4 16/17

Children's Services Indicators

Indicator	Target (plus target range for RAG)	15/16 Actual	16/17 Qtr. 4 Actual	RAG	Direction of Travel (Better/Worse/No change)	Strategic Director / Executive Member	Commentary
<p>% Children who became subject to a Child Protection Plan (CPP) who are subject to a CPP for a second or subsequent time within 24 months.</p> <p>18</p>	<p>Less than 8%</p> <p>Green: Less than 8% Amber: 8 – 10% Red: Over 10%</p>	<p>7%</p> <p>Number of Children: 8 of 114</p>	<p>24%</p> <p>Number of Children: 4 of 17</p> <p>(Q3: 20%)</p>	<p>Red</p>	<p>Worse (than Q3)</p>	<p>Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor</p>	<p>In Q4, four children came back onto a CPP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3 children (one family) had a change in family circumstances which resulted in the decision to step up to a Child Protection Plan; and • 1 child has appropriately been taken into pre-proceedings. <p>Note that in Q3, whilst the % of children coming back onto a plan was “better” than Q4 (20%), <u>more</u> children (7 of 35) came back onto a plan in Q3 than Q4. From the last month in Q3 to the end of Q4 the CPP for 2nd or subsequent time (regardless of within 24 months) is out of pattern locally and in terms of national benchmark work has started to understand this. Two families were subject to plans more than 2 years ago, One over 10 years ago. A number of the children are subject to pre proceedings or proceedings. A number need more robust plans. This is an area for development and improvement work.</p>

Extract of WBC Council Plan Performance Monitoring Report, Q4 16/17

Children's Services Indicators

Indicator	Target (plus target range for RAG)	15/16 Actual	16/17 Qtr. 4 Actual	RAG	Direction of Travel (Better/Worse/No change)	Strategic Director / Executive Member	Commentary
% Care Proceedings completed in 16/17 within 26 weeks of application	60% Green: 60% Amber: Between 47% and 59.9% Red: Less than 47%	52.9%	100% (Q3: 0%)	Green	Better (than Q3)	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	<p>This is a national indicator.</p> <p>The data shows strong performance in Q4.</p>
% Looked After Children living within 20 miles of Berkshire West	70% Green: 70% or more Amber: 64-69.9% Red: Less than 64%	63.9%	61.2% (Q3: 59.3%)	Red	Better (than Q3)	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	<p>This figure will continue to be low until local provision and capacity is developed and new entrants into care are placed locally. We would not move already settled children to local placements in order to meet this target.</p> <p>All of those children living beyond 20 miles are placed through independent fostering agencies or in residential settings.</p> <p>Of those children in fostering placements, 75.5% are placed within 20 miles of Berkshire West (the area of workplan, West Berkshire, Reading). Of those children in non-fostering placements, 7.1% are placed within 20 miles of Berkshire West.</p>

Extract of WBC Council Plan Performance Monitoring Report, Q4 16/17

Children's Services Indicators

Indicator	Target (plus target range for RAG)	15/16 Actual	16/17 Qtr. 4 Actual	RAG	Direction of Travel (Better/Worse/No change)	Strategic Director / Executive Member	Commentary
% of children who wait less than 16 months between entering care and moving in with their adoptive family – 3 year rolling average	55% Green: 55% or above Amber: 50% to 54.9% Red: Less than 50%	2012 – 2015 rolling three year average: 40%				Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	The DfE continue to review the frequency of data releases. The expected December data release did not take place. The next release is now expected in Summer 2017**.
**Suggested alternate indicator in the absence of DfE data: Children placed in the financial year to date – average number of days between entering care and placement (using FfA placement date if applicable) (Source: Adopt Berkshire Steering Group Report, Q3)	487 days Green: 487 days or less. Red: More than 487 days.	TBC	279 days (Q3: 464 days)	Green	N/A	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	The current data shows strong performance

Extract of WBC Council Plan Performance Monitoring Report, Q4 16/17

Children's Services Indicators

Indicator	Target (plus target range for RAG)	15/16 Actual	16/17 Qtr. 4 Actual	RAG	Direction of Travel (Better/Worse/No change)	Strategic Director / Executive Member	Commentary
Proportion of adoptive families who are matched to a child who waited more than 3 months from approval to being matched to a child	52% Green: 52% or less Amber: 52% to 60% Red: More than 60%	Not yet available 14/15 Actual: 83%				Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	The DfE continue to review the frequency of data releases. The expected December data release did not take place. The next release is now expected in Summer 2017***.
10 Suggested alternate indicator in the absence of DfE data: Children matched in the financial year to date – average number of days between Placement Order and formal match. (Source: Adopt Berkshire Steering Group Report, Q3)	121 days Green: 121 days or less. Red: More than 121 days.	TBC	49 days (Q3: 78 days)	Green	N/A	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	The current data shows strong performance

Extract of WBC Council Plan Performance Monitoring Report, Q4 16/17

Children's Services Indicators

Indicator	Target (plus target range for RAG)	15/16 Actual	16/17 Qtr. 4 Actual	RAG	Direction of Travel (Better/Worse/No change)	Strategic Director / Executive Member	Commentary
22 % CP Visits due in the period which were on-time (within 10 days of the previous visit).	82% Green: 82% or more Amber: 78% to 81.9% Red: Less than 78%	80%	84.4% (Q3: 79.7%)	Green	Better (than Q3)	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	<p>This is an improving picture. Visits are reviewed by Team and Service Managers weekly and late reviews are scrutinised by managers with any concerns being escalated as appropriate.</p> <p>98% of all visits took place within 15 working days in Q4 (compared to 94% in Q3), delays between 10 and 15 days mainly relating to attempted unannounced visits taking place where the family were not at home.</p> <p>The Head of Service is assured that we have an account of all the children not seen within 15 working days, and the reasons for this, and that children are seen/safe.</p>
% Primary Schools with a Current Ofsted Rating of "Good" or better.	Improvement Green: Improvement or 100% Amber: No Change compared to previous quarter Red: Deterioration compared to previous quarter.	86% (as of 31 March 2016)	92% (Q3: 90%)	Green	Better (than Q3)	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	<p>Performance has improved in Q4 by 2% compared to Q3.</p> <p>The inspection outcome for 1 primary school has been published this quarter: Lamb's Lane – Good, previously RI</p>

Extract of WBC Council Plan Performance Monitoring Report, Q4 16/17

Children's Services Indicators

Indicator	Target (plus target range for RAG)	15/16 Actual	16/17 Qtr. 4 Actual	RAG	Direction of Travel (Better/Worse/No change)	Strategic Director / Executive Member	Commentary
23 % Secondary Schools with a current Ofsted rating of "good" or better.	Improvement Green: Improvement or 100% Amber: No Change compared to previous quarter Red: Deterioration compared to previous quarter	89% (as of 31 March 2016)	89% (Q3: 89%)	Amber	No Change (from Q3)	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	No change from Q3. No secondary school inspection outcomes released in Q4.
% Special Schools with a current Ofsted rating of "good" or better.	Improvement Green: Improvement or 100% Amber: No Change compared to previous quarter Red: Deterioration compared to previous quarter	100% (as of 31 March 2016)	100% (Q3: 66%)	Green	Better (than Q3)	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	In Q3, performance for this indicator was 66% due to Southfield School being found "inadequate" in Q1. Southfield School has now closed, and Northern House School opened on the same site in January 2017. The figure for Special Schools with an Ofsted rating of "good" or better in Q4 is 100% as Northern House School has yet to be inspected. Addington School currently has an "Outstanding" rating and High Close School is rated "Good".

Extract of WBC Council Plan Performance Monitoring Report, Q4 16/17

Children's Services Indicators

Indicator	Target (plus target range for RAG)	15/16 Actual	16/17 Qtr. 4 Actual	RAG	Direction of Travel (Better/Worse/No change)	Strategic Director / Executive Member	Commentary
<p>% Children who attend a Wokingham school (Primary, Secondary or Special) who are at a school with an Ofsted rating of "good" or better.</p> <p>24</p>	<p>Improvement</p> <p>Green: Improvement or 100%</p> <p>Amber: No Change compared to previous quarter</p> <p>Red: Deterioration compared to previous quarter</p>	90% (as of 31 March 2016)	89% (Q2:88%)	Green	Better (than Q3)	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	<p>Improvement from Q3 by 1%. The inspection outcome for 1 school has been published in this quarter.</p> <p>Lamb's Lane – Good, previously RI</p>
Number of schools causing concern	0 schools	5 schools	5 schools (Q2: 7 schools)	N/A	Better (than Q3)	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	<p>At the end of Q4, there were 4 schools with a "requires improvement (RI)" and 1 school with an "inadequate" ofsted judgement:</p> <p>RI - Forest, Bearwood, Keep Hatch, Gorse Ride Junior;</p> <p>Inadequate – Coombes (Note that Southfield School, which was judged "inadequate" in Q1 has closed, replaced by Northern House School which opened on the same site in January 2017, and has not yet been inspected).</p>

Extract of WBC Council Plan Performance Monitoring Report, Q4 16/17

Children's Services Indicators

Indicator	Target (plus target range for RAG)	15/16 Actual	16/17 Qtr. 4 Actual	RAG	Direction of Travel (Better/Worse/No change)	Strategic Director / Executive Member	Commentary
25 Number of schools becoming academies	10 schools (expectation at end of 15/16)	1 school	0 schools	N/A	N/A	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	This indicator was introduced because of the expected increase in the rate of academy conversions following the publication of the White Paper "Educational Excellence Everywhere" in March 2016 which set a target that all schools convert to academies by 2022. Since then, however, the government proposals for academy conversion have changed. In Q4 no schools were becoming academies. However, Southfield School, which was found "inadequate" in Q1 has closed, and an Academy, Northern House School, opened on the same site in January 2017.

This page is intentionally left blank