

**QUARTERLY ENFORCEMENT MONITORING INFORMATION**  
**PLANNING COMMITTEE Apr 2017**

**RFS CASES 1 Jan – 31 Mar 2017**

Number on hand 1 Jan     176

Number received            176

Number closed              142

% closed in 8 weeks       66%

Number on hand 31 Mar   172

Reasons for closure	Number	%
Other	8	6%
No breach of planning control	81	57%
Not expedient to pursue	1	0.7%
Voluntary compliance	19	13%
Details submitted (eg minor amendment, details pursuant to conditions, planning application)	32	22%
Notices served	1	0.7%

**SUMMARY OF NOTICES/PROSECUTION for period 1 Jan – 31 Mar 2017**

Notice Type	Number Served
Enforcement Notices	1 Unauthorised fence on land adj Model farm cottages
Stop Notices	0
Temporary Stop Notices	0
Breach of Condition Notices	0
Section 215 Notices	0
Prosecutions	0
Direct Action	0
Injunctions/Orders	1 Hare Hatch Sheeplands

Injunction at Hare Hatch Sheeplands requires cessation of the unauthorised retail uses, cessation of the unauthorised seating area, cessation of the unauthorised children's plan area, cessation of the residential use of the mobile home, removal of various unauthorised structures and excavation of parking area by 1 May 2017.

## **APPEALS AGAINST ENFORCEMENT NOTICES 1 Jan – 31 Mar 2017**

Number of enforcement appeals lodged: Lower Rivermead, 18 Barkham Ride **Total 2**

Number of enforcement notice appeals determined: 3 at Bird Place and 4 at Acorn House  
**Total 7**

Number of enforcement appeals withdrawn: Bluebell Farm **Total 1**

Enforcement appeals public inquiries pending: Barkham Manor Farm (May 2017), Kybes Lane (May 2017), Nelsons Lane (tbc)

## **SUMMARY OF ENFORCEMENT APPEAL DECISIONS**

**Reference: RFS/2014/00385**

**Address: Flats 1, 2, 3 and 4 Acorn House, Peach Street**

**Breach of planning control:** installation of UPVC replacement windows and doors

**Appeal outcome:** Two Appeals dismissed and notice upheld. Two appeals allowed

**Inspector's findings:** In relation to flats 1 and 3 (allowed) the replacement UPVC windows have a glazing pattern similar to the windows which previously existed and thereby retain the historical character of the building. They therefore preserve, or at least conserve the character and appearance of the Conservation Area. With regards to flats 2 and 4 (appeals dismissed) the replacement windows and doors are materially different to that which previously existed and their modern appearance is at odds with the character of the older buildings found on this side of Peach Street. As such they cause harm to the character and appearance of the Conservation Area.

**Reference: RFS/2016/082832**

**Address: Bird Place Cottage, Henley Bridge, Remenham**

**Breach of planning control:** Two unauthorised extensions to dwelling (Appeal A), unauthorised infill extension between two outbuildings (Appeal B), unauthorised wet dock (Appeal C).

**Appeal outcome:** 3 Appeals dismissed and notices upheld.

**Inspector's findings:** The two extensions to the dwelling are of poor design which cause harm to the special character of the building and also to the character and appearance of the Conservation Area. The infill extension is inappropriate development in the Green Belt and causes harm to openness. The Inspector was not satisfied that the construction of the wet dock had not caused damage to the adjacent listed garden wall.

**Report Author:** Marcia Head, Development Management Team Leader