

Extract of WBC Council Plan Performance Monitoring Report Q2 2016/17

Children's Services Performance Indicators

Indicator	Target (plus target range for RAG)	15/16 Actual	16/17 Qtr. 2 Actual	RAG	Direction of Travel (Better/Worse/No change)	Strategic Director / Executive Member	Commentary
75 % referrals in 16/17 which are repeat referrals within 12 months of a previous referral to Children's Social Care	24% or Less Green: 24% or less Amber: 24.1% to 26% Red: Over 26%	18.96%	32.9% (Q1: 21.6%)	Red	Worse (than Q1)	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	<p>Whilst this figure is over our target, only one case raised discussion as to whether a different approach would have been appropriate on first referral, however there is evidence to support the initial decision being reasonable. None of the repeat referrals led to Child Protection enquiries. This is an indicator which helps us establish if a case has been closed too quickly. It is not necessarily an indication of a failure in the support provided.</p> <p>There were 17 (of 69) repeat referrals in July (24.6%), 21 (of 52) in August (40.4%) and 18 (of 90) in September (20%).</p> <p>The percentage was over target in August due to the lower number of total referrals received, which is the usual trend in the summer holidays.</p> <p>Performance for September was 20%, which is better than the target.</p>

Indicator	Target (plus target range for RAG)	15/16 Actual	16/17 Qtr. 2 Actual	RAG	Direction of Travel (Better/Worse/No change)	Strategic Director / Executive Member	Commentary
% Children who became subject of a Child Protection Plan (CPP) who are subject to a CPP for a second or subsequent time within 24 months.	Less than 8% Green: Less than 8% Amber: 8 – 10% Red: Over 10%	7% Number of Children: 8 of 114	33% Number of Children: 6 of 18 (Q1: 5.6%)	Red	Worse (than Q1)	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	A large family (four children) became subject to a Child Protection Plan for a second time in quarter 2. This particular family had intervention up to the limit of the local authority's legal powers and the subsequent Child Protection Plan could not have been avoided.
% Care Proceedings completed in 15/16 within 26 weeks of application 76	60% Green: 60% Amber: Between 47% and 59.9% Red: Less than 47%	52.9%	33% (Q1: 60%)	Red	Worse (than Q1)	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	4 Care Proceedings were completed over the 26 week timescale in the quarter due to further assessment being required. This timetable was controlled by the Court and not the Local Authority.
% Looked After Children living within 20 miles of Berkshire West	70% Green: 70% or more Amber: 64-69.9% Red: Less than 64%	63.9%	63% (Q1: 67.1%)	Red	Worse (than Q1)	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	Work is being undertaken to increase the recruitment of local foster carers and out of area emergency placements are only used in exceptional circumstances if there are no other options available locally. Almost all children who are in long term placements out of borough have exceptional needs. We are actively working with units to stabilise the children to reintegrate them to the local area as soon as they are able and ensure it meets a child's need.

Indicator	Target (plus target range for RAG)	15/16 Actual	16/17 Qtr. 2 Actual	RAG	Direction of Travel (Better/Worse/No change)	Strategic Director / Executive Member	Commentary
% of children who wait less than 16 months between entering care and moving in with their adoptive family – 3 year rolling average	55% Green: 55% or above Amber: 50% to 54.9% Red: Less than 50%	2012 – 2015 rolling three year average: 40%				Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	The next data release is expected in December 2016. However, the DfE are reviewing the frequency of data releases. We are seeking alternate sources of information to present in this report in Q3 should the December DfE release be discontinued.
Proportion of adoptive families who are matched to a child who waited more than 3 months from approval to being matched to a child	52% Green: 52% or less Amber: 52% to 60% Red: More than 60%	Not yet available 14/15 Actual: 83%				Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	The next data release is expected in December 2016. However, the DfE are reviewing the frequency of data releases. We are seeking alternate sources of information to present in this report in Q3 should the December DfE release be discontinued.
% CP Visits due in the period which were on-time (within 10 days of the previous visit).	82% Green: 82% or more Amber: 78% to 81.9% Red: Less than 78%	80%	79.1% (Q1: 75.9%)	Amber	Better (than Q1)	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	91.4% of all visits took place within 15 working days, delays between 10 and 15 days mainly relating to attempted unannounced visits taking place where the family were not at home. Visits are reviewed by Team and Service Managers weekly and late reviews are scrutinised by managers with any concerns being escalated as appropriate.

Indicator	Target (plus target range for RAG)	15/16 Actual	16/17 Qtr. 2 Actual	RAG	Direction of Travel (Better/Worse/No change)	Strategic Director / Executive Member	Commentary
% Primary Schools with a Current Ofsted Rating of "Good" or better.	<p>Improvement</p> <p>Green: Improvement or 100%</p> <p>Amber: No Change compared to previous quarter</p> <p>Red: Deterioration compared to previous quarter</p>	86% (as of 31 March 2016)	88% (Q1:88%)	Amber	No change	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	<p>No change from Q1.</p> <p>No school inspection outcomes released in Q2.</p> <p>Primary Schools inspected and outcomes published in the first quarter:</p> <p>Coombes – Inadequate, previously Good</p> <p>Gorse Ride Junior - RI, previously Good</p> <p>Early St Peters – Good, previously RI</p> <p>Farley Hill - Good, previously RI</p> <p>Winnersh - Good, previously RI</p> <p>Windmill – Good, inspected for the first time.</p>
87 % Secondary Schools with a current Ofsted rating of "good" or better.	<p>Improvement</p> <p>Green: Improvement or 100%</p> <p>Amber: No Change compared to previous quarter</p> <p>Red: Deterioration</p>	89% (as of 31 March 2016)	89% (Q1: 78%)	Green	Better	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	<p>Improvement from Q1</p> <p>One school inspection outcome was released in Q2.</p> <p>Oakbank School - Good, previously RI</p>

Indicator	Target (plus target range for RAG)	15/16 Actual	16/17 Qtr. 2 Actual	RAG	Direction of Travel (Better/Worse/No change)	Strategic Director / Executive Member	Commentary
% Special Schools with a current Ofsted rating of “good” or better.	<p>Improvement</p> <p>Green: Improvement or 100%</p> <p>Amber: No Change compared to previous quarter</p> <p>Red: Deterioration compared to previous quarter</p>	100% (as of 31 March 2016)	66% (Q1:66%)	Amber	No change	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	No change from Q1. No school inspection outcomes released in Q2. Special schools inspected and outcomes published in the first quarter: Southfield - Inadequate, previously Good
% Children who attend a Wokingham school (Primary, Secondary or Special) who are at a school with an Ofsted rating of “good” or better.	<p>Improvement</p> <p>Green: Improvement or 100%</p> <p>Amber: No Change compared to previous quarter</p> <p>Red: Deterioration compared to previous quarter</p>	90% (as of 31 March 2016)	87% (Q1:86%)	Green	Better	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	Improvement from Q1. One school inspection outcome was released in Q2. Oakbank School – Good, previously RI

Indicator	Target (plus target range for RAG)	15/16 Actual	16/17 Qtr. 2 Actual	RAG	Direction of Travel (Better/Worse/No change)	Strategic Director / Executive Member	Commentary
Number of schools causing concern	5 schools	5 schools	8 schools (Q1: 8 schools)	N/A	No change	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	At the end of Q2 2016-17 we were working with 8 schools either because they had a "Requires Improvement" Ofsted judgement (six schools) or because they were in special measures (two schools). All are monitored at least termly.
Number of schools becoming academies	10 schools (expectation at end of 15/16)	1 school	0 schools (Q1: 0 schools)	N/A	N/A	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	This indicator was introduced because of the expected increase in the rate of academy conversions following the publication of the White Paper "Educational Excellence Everywhere" in March 2016 which set a target that all schools convert to academies by 2022. Since then, however, the government proposals for academy conversion have changed. In Q2 no schools were changing to academies. It is expected that the 2 schools in special measures will change to academies in the next two terms.

08