

Extract of WBC Council Plan Performance Monitoring Report Q1 2016/17

Children's Services Performance Indicators

Indicator	Target (plus target range for RAG)	15/16 Actual	16/17 Qtr. 1 Actual	RAG	Direction of Travel (Better/ Worse/ No change)	Strategic Director / Executive Member	Commentary
% referrals in 16/17 which are repeat referrals within 12 months of a previous referral to Children's Social Care	24% or Less Green: 24% or less Amber: 24.1% to 26% Red: Over 26%	18.96%	21.6%	Green	No change	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	One large scale police operation in this quarter has impacted the re-referral rate. There has been no change in Grade, with a small percentage drop.
% Children who became subject of a Child Protection Plan (CPP) who are subject to a CPP for a second or subsequent time within 24 months.	Less than 8% Green: Less than 8% Amber: 8 – 10% Red: Over 10%	7%	5.6%	Green	No change	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	There has been no change in Grade, with a small reduction in percentage.
% Care Proceedings completed in 15/16 within 26 weeks of application	60% Green: 60% Amber: Between 47% and 59.9% Red: Less than 47%	52.9%	60%	Green	Better We recognise that the percentage change may not be informative to differentiate between performances, hence the illustration in the commentary	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	Improved picture. Some care proceedings have taken longer due to specialist assessments required and some police checks continue to cause delay. <u>Illustrations:</u> 3 out of 5 cases were completed on time. 1 case was just over a day, another case was over 4 weeks.

Indicator	Target (plus target range for RAG)	15/16 Actual	16/17 Qtr. 1 Actual	RAG	Direction of Travel (Better/Worse/No change)	Strategic Director / Executive Member	Commentary
% Looked After Children living within 20 miles of Berkshire West	70% Green: 70% or more Amber: 64-69.9% Red: Less than 64%	63.9%	67.1%	Amber	Better	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	<p>This is an improving picture; however, further improvement is required to bring Wokingham LA in line with National performance (for geographic size akin to West Berkshire).</p> <p>Our aim is to place more children closer to their home, reducing the disruptions they experience when they are placed in care.</p> <p>Work is being undertaken to increase the recruitment of local foster carers and out of area emergency placements are only used in exceptional circumstances if there are no other options locally. Almost all children who are in long term placements out of borough have exceptional needs. For children placed out of borough in an emergency, work to find a local longer term placement is prioritised.</p>

Indicator	Target (plus target range for RAG)	15/16 Actual	16/17 Qtr. 1 Actual	RAG	Direction of Travel (Better/ Worse/ No change)	Strategic Director / Executive Member	Commentary
% of children who wait less than 16 months between entering care and moving in with their adoptive family – 3 year rolling average	55% Green: 55% or above Amber: 50% to 54.9% Red: Less than 50%	2012 – 2015 rolling three year average: 40%				Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	Information not available yet from DfE. Q1 will be reported in Q2 report.
Proportion of adoptive families who are matched to a child who waited more than 3 months from approval to being matched to a child	52% Green: 52% or less Amber: 52% to 60% Red: More than 60%	Not yet available 14/15 Actual: 83%				Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	Information not available yet from DfE. Q1 will be reported in Q2 report.
% CP Visits due in the period which were on-time (within 10 days of the previous visit).	82% Green: 82% or more Amber: 78% to 81.9% Red: Less than 78%	80%	75.9%	Red	Worse	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	The majority of the visits that took place over 10 working days from the previous visit in quarter 1 were up to a week late. They took no more than a week after 10 days. 90% of all visits took place within 15 working days. The main reasons for late visits are due to attempted unannounced visits taking place where the family were not at home or holidays. Visits are reviewed by Team and Service Managers weekly and late reviews are scrutinised by managers with any concerns being escalated as appropriate.

Indicator	Target (plus target range for RAG)	15/16 Actual	16/17 Qtr. 1 Actual	RAG	Direction of Travel (Better/Worse/No change)	Strategic Director / Executive Member	Commentary
% Primary Schools with a Current Ofsted Rating of "Good" or better.	Improvement Green: Improvement or 100% Amber: No Change Red: Deterioration	86% (as of 31 March 2016)	88%	Green	Better	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	Primary Schools inspected and outcomes published in this quarter: Coombes – Inadequate, previously Good Gorse Ride Junior - RI, previously Good Early St Peters – Good, previously RI Farley Hill - Good, previously RI Winnersh - Good, previously RI Windmill – Good, inspected for the first time.
% Secondary Schools with a current Ofsted rating of "good" or better.	Improvement Green: Improvement or 100% Amber: No Change Red: Deterioration	89% (as of 31 March 2016)	78%	Red	Worse	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	Secondary Schools inspected and outcomes published in this quarter: Forest - RI, previously Good
% Special Schools with a current Ofsted rating of "good" or better.	Improvement Green: Maintenance of 100% Red: Less than 100%	100% (as of 31 March 2016)	66%	Red	Worse	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	Special schools inspected and outcomes published in this quarter: Southfield - Inadequate, previously Good

Indicator	Target (plus target range for RAG)	15/16 Actual	16/17 Qtr. 1 Actual	RAG	Direction of Travel (Better/ Worse/ No change)	Strategic Director / Executive Member	Commentary
<p>% Children who attend a Wokingham school (Primary, Secondary or Special) who are at a school with and Ofsted rating of “good” or better.</p>	<p>Improvement Green: Improvement or 100% Amber: No Change Red: Deterioration</p>	<p>90% (as of 31 March 2016)</p>	<p>86%</p>	<p>Red</p>	<p>Worse</p>	<p>Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor</p>	<p>All Schools inspected and outcomes published in this quarter: Southfield - Inadequate, previously Good Forest - RI, previously Good Coombes – Inadequate, previously Good Gorse Ride Junior - RI, previously Good Early St Peters – Good, previously RI Farley Hill - Good, previously RI Winnersh - Good, previously RI Windmill – Good, inspected for the first time.</p> <p>The LA prioritises schools for intervention according to need rather than size. This indicator has been adversely affected because of the size of The Forest school and the smaller size of the 4 schools which have improved their Ofsted judgements (details above). The predicted Coombes outcome also represents a relatively large primary school. The Coombes is under new leadership and governance, and subject to LA monitoring. The Forest is an academy; although it is not formally accountable to WBC, the LA takes an interest in improvements.</p>

Indicator	Target (plus target range for RAG)	15/16 Actual	16/17 Qtr. 1 Actual	RAG	Direction of Travel (Better/ Worse/ No change)	Strategic Director / Executive Member	Commentary
Number of schools causing concern	5 schools	5 schools	8 schools	N/A	N/A	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	The number of schools causing concern indicates the scale of the local authority's active school improvement work which is preventative and supportive. Introducing this indicator provides the opportunity for more dynamic updates on the schools we are focusing on than the annual pupil outcomes. At the end of summer term 2016-17 we were working with 8 schools either because they had a "Requires Improvement" Ofsted judgement or their data suggested they were at risk of one. Two were judged to require special measures. All are monitored at least termly.
Number of schools becoming academies	10 schools	1 school	0	N/A	N/A	Judith Ramsden/ Charlotte Haitham Taylor	This indicator is introduced because of the expected increase in the rate of academy conversions, and the need to monitor progress in these changes. No schools are currently changing. It is expected that the 2 schools in special measures will change in the next two terms.